


**MOBILE
PHONE
MASTS:**



**The Awful
TRUTH
About Their
Effects On
Your
HEALTH**

**(also cordless DECT
phones and Wi-fi
wireless networking)**



**Brought to you by
Goldsworth Residents Against the Masts
(www.nomasts.org.uk)
email: gram@nomasts.org.uk**

Introduction to Summary of INDEPENDENT Research

Below is a summary of Independent Research into Mobile Telephone Masts that we have put together from various independent sources, with references, which you will find very informative.

Like many people, we never realised the full extent of the health issues around Mobile Telephone Masts, nor the official wall of silence that exists, until circumstances led us to spend a large amount of time and effort looking into the whole issue. We were shocked, and we expect you will be too.

It is only by assessing independent, good quality information that you or we can make up our own minds about the Mobile Telephone Mast issue. This document hopes to give you a summary.

Please read it.

To introduce this and to aid your understanding:

Government and Telecommunication Companies quote the 'ICNIRP Guidelines' as assurances of safety for any mast/s saying, 'The mast has an ICNIRP [safety] Certificate' or, 'The mast's emissions fall well below the ICNIRP Guidelines', etc.

However, the ICNIRP Guidelines **ONLY** cover the Thermal (i.e. heating) effects of Mobile Telephone Mast emissions. Hence all that an ICNIRP certificate means is that the mast won't cook you!

When the guidelines were made in 1998 it was generally thought that the only effects of the Mobile Telephone Mast emissions would be thermal, hence the ICNIRP Guidelines as they currently stand.

However, it is now widely accepted that NON-Thermal effects do occur but, vitally and to our minds, negligently, these guidelines have NOT been updated to include NON-Thermal effects.

The Thermal (heating) effects are accepted to be negligible - however, NON-Thermal effects are now known to affect the make-up of our bodies and how our bodies work, posing real risks to our health and to our lives.

This summary details some of the NON-Thermal effects.

From studying independent research it is clear that, although the ICNIRP Guidelines are always quoted to assure the public of the safety of a Mobile Telephone Mast, these assurances are completely **FALSE** since the ICNIRP Guidelines **DO NOT PROTECT US** against the NON-Thermal effects, which are being negligently and completely ignored.

Many people believe that the reason that the ICNIRP Guidelines have not been updated with guidelines for NON-Thermal effects is because there is still no "dose-response equation" for no risk (or acceptable risk). Dr. Neil Cherry **[13]** said, the safe level for microwaves is nil. Additionally, the ICNIRP Guidelines ignore long-term low-level exposure to microwaves.

What are the Non-Thermal Effects detailed in the research?

Here are some examples:

- DNA damage potentially leading to cancers such as Breast cancer and Testicular cancer,
- Signal pulsing, similar to rapidly flashing lights, causing or worsening Epilepsy,
- Reduction in Melatonin levels - and increase in nitric oxide (NO) levels - resulting in: reduced cancer fighting cells in our bodies, sleeping disorders, increased cholesterol levels leading to greater risk of atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease, increased blood pressure giving greater risk of blood clots and strokes. Also increasing chances of serious disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, Lou Gehrig's disease, Multiple Sclerosis and Parkinson's,
- Tinnitus and Hearing problems, Headaches, Reduced drug effectiveness.

These effects are increasingly being found to occur in reality within the population at large who are exposed to Mobile Telephone Mast radiation. Many German and European doctors (equivalent to our GPs) are so concerned that they have signed up to the “Freiburger Appeal” to demand that health concerns over Mobile Telephone Masts are taken seriously. Other similar appeals exist across Europe [6] . The Doctors note many symptoms increasingly seen by them in the vicinity of Mobile Telephone Masts.

They are:-

- Learning, concentration, and behavioural disorders (e.g. attention deficit disorder, ADD)
- Extreme fluctuations in blood pressure, ever harder to influence with medications
- Heart rhythm disorders
- Heart attacks and strokes among an increasingly younger population
- Brain-degenerative diseases (e.g. Alzheimer’s) and Epilepsy [14]
- Cancerous afflictions: leukemia, brain tumors
- Headaches, migraines
- Chronic exhaustion
- Inner agitation
- Sleeplessness, daytime sleepiness
- Tinnitus
- Susceptibility to infection
- Nervous and connective tissue pains, for which the usual causes do not explain even the most conspicuous symptoms

Additionally we have found multiple examples of the following to add to the above list:-

- Pineal dysfunction which may contribute to the onset of depression or may exacerbate existing depressive disorders, possibly leading to suicide.
- Miscarriages

Government and the Telecommunication Industry would prefer you to read and hear about their scientists’ ‘research’ - but with their huge financial gains reliant on ‘safe results’ can you blame anyone for being sceptical about how trustworthy their research actually is?

Please read our summary of INDEPENDENT scientific research that, we believe, the government and the industry are trying to suppress. This research is carried out by independent scientists whose careers are often put at risk because they dare to question the safety of these Mobile Telephone Masts and oppose the government view by saying that these masts may well cause much harm to people.

‘The reality of such a risk to public health is not yet officially recognised, however, and those who dare to depart from the ‘official’ line, by warning of potential dangers to human health posed by non-thermal influences of the radiation used in mobile telephony, are subject to immediate criticism and derision – particularly by those with a vested interest in maintaining the growth of mobile telephony. A good example of this is the ferocious attack by the committee of COST281 on my report for the EU Parliament (commissioned by STOA).’ - Dr G J Hyland, in his December 2003 Paper, “The inadequacy of the ICNIRP Guidelines governing human exposure to the microwave emissions of GSM/TETRA Base-stations.” [11]

Current INDEPENDENT Scientific Research That Proves Mobile Phone Masts Are NOT Safe

All of the Examples Below are Non-Thermal Effects from Mobile Telephone Mast emissions that are not covered by the UK Government / ICNIRP Guidelines. (See Point 7 below).

1. Genetic Damage in Blood Cells and Other Cells

Drs Ray Tice and Graham Hook found human blood cell changes following exposure to four different types of cell phone signals. Genetic damage was seen in the form of micronuclei present in blood cells exposed to mobile phone radiation. [1] Also seen with Dr.Lai and Singh [2]

A recent 4-year EU-backed study by twelve partners in seven countries, EU Reflex, repeatedly showed irrefutable evidence of mobile phone emissions, at levels within ICNIRP, and hence UK, guidelines, causing double-strand DNA breaks of the sort that lead to cancer. [3]

Many environmental factors, including sunlight, cause DNA strands to break. However, it is the amount and type of DNA breaks that occur that may or may not lead to cell mutations and therefore cancer. It is possible for the cell to make mistakes when repairing single-strand breaks, but the likelihood of serious mistakes (mutations) increases substantially with double-strand breaks - the type seen from exposure to mobile phone radiation.

Where this type of DNA damage occurs in the blood cancers such as **Breast cancer** and **Testicular cancer** can result.

It has been reported that, in Paris, Orange dismantled a Mobile Telephone Mast that it had placed on a school's roof after 8 school children contracted cancer. This surely is quite damning - indicating that the industry/company knows that these Mobile Telephone Masts DO or MAY WELL cause ill health - why else would they dismantle their mast from the school?

2. Electrical Hypersensitivity (EHS), Chronic Fatigue Syndrome and Sleep Disorders etc.

The most commonly reported adverse effect of Mobile Telephone Mast radiation, is EHS. The symptoms are wide ranging, including **headaches** and **nausea**, **dizziness**, **recurrent nosebleeds**, **skin irritations** and **rashes**, **sleep disorders**, **hearing problems**, **high blood pressure**, **fatigue**, **irritability** and **depression**. Some people with epilepsy report increased incidence of seizures, people with chronic fatigue syndrome and multiple sclerosis report increased debilitation, as do those with or developing fibromyalgia. Further down the time line, reports of localised clusters of thyroid problems, motor neurone disease and cancers require full and proper investigation. Where such studies have been made, especially with regard to cancer, the correlation with Mobile Telephone Mast emissions is strong enough now to warrant more formal verification.

The common cause of the above effects is the increase in the production of nitric oxide – triggered by Mobile Telephone Mast Radiation. [15] and [16]

Nitric oxide is a dilator of blood vessels and a regulator of dream sleep. It also affects the blood-brain barrier and prevents the body's normal formation of melatonin from serotonin. Several studies have noted the reduction in Melatonin levels when the body is subjected to Mobile Telephone Mast radiation [4].

A knock-on effect is the overproduction of peroxynitrite, which is toxic at a cellular level. This leads directly to Motor Neuron Disease (MND) and Lou Gehrig's disease (ALS).

Such is the broad role of nitric oxide in the body, that disturbance of its production creates an avalanche of negative effects. Many “modern” trends in disorders from Multiple Sclerosis to Autism and ADHD, Alzheimer's to Motor Neuron Disease may stem directly from the over-production of nitric oxide. That Mobile Telephone Mast radiation has been shown to alter the production of nitric oxide in living beings is therefore a significant and serious factor in the evaluation of current and growing environmental levels. It is the long-term low level exposure that is predicted as creating cumulative effects and long-latency illness.

3. Weakening of the Blood-Brain Barrier

Many laboratory studies, such as [5], have looked at the effect of pulsed microwave radiation on animals, revealing a wide range of biological changes to tissue structure or function such as the opening of the Blood Brain Barrier, allowing the protein albumen, amongst other substances, to enter the brain, and raising questions about, for example, **Multiple Sclerosis** and **Alzheimer's**, which are linked to proteins being found in the brain. Similarly, the weakening of the Blood Brain Barrier allows toxins in the bloodstream to pass into brain cells, leading to headaches and nausea (as commonly observed around Mobile Telephone Masts) - and, potentially, large-scale brain damage in the longer term.

4. Increased Incidence of Epilepsy

When noting the reports of an increased number of seizures in some epileptic children when exposed to the emissions of Mobile Telephone Mast radiation, it should be remembered that exposure to a light (such as that from a stroboscope) flashing at a rate somewhere between 15-20 times per second (Hz) can provoke seizures in the 5% minority of epileptics who suffer from photosensitive epilepsy.

Visible light and microwaves are both different kinds of electromagnetic radiation, and the microwave radiation used in GSM mobile phone telephony similarly 'flashes' (pulses) – in the case of TETRA at 17.6Hz, which is within the 15-20 times per second (Hz) range [9] and is a rate that the brain is able to recognise. Also, unlike visible light, pulsed microwaves are not reliant on the eye and optic nerve to access the brain, since they can penetrate the skull directly, leading to epilepsy risk from Mobile Telephone Mast radiation.

A familiar piece of misinformation quoted by mobile phone operators is that the emissions of a Mobile Telephone Mast are comparable to that of only a 60W light bulb, and thus equally harmless. Quite apart from the fact that the light from a 60W light bulb *can be* harmful to a person with photosensitive epilepsy, if it is flashed at an appropriate rate, the comparison is solely based on intensities and neglects three important points:-

1. The fact that *more* than one carrier signal is usually transmitted from the mast. Thus, the figure of 60W must be multiplied by the number of carriers that are actually transmitted in any particular case; in order to minimise inter-carrier interference, however, this number is restricted typically to 4 at the most, so the total output wattage can be as high as 240W.
2. Beams from the mast, however, are not emitted uniformly in all directions (as happens with light from a light-bulb), but are instead concentrated in specific directions, the degree of directional focusing being quantified through the so-called 'gain' (G) of the antenna, even omni-directional types, typical values of which, in the case of GSM, range from about 40 to 60. If we use an optimistic figure of 30 the so-called 'effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP), given by the multiplying Power by Gain – is 1800W, which is further increased to 7.2kW if 4 carriers are transmitted – a value that is 120 times higher than the 60W quoted! The maximum EIRP value permitted by law is 1500W per carrier, whilst the maximum number of carrier signals is 16 (at 1800MHz) and 10 (at 900MHz); in practice, however, the number of carriers is usually restricted to 4 at the most, for the reason mentioned above.
3. The comparison neglects the all important frequency dimension, in particular the difference in the frequency that characterises the visible light from the light bulb from that which defines the radiation to be (invisible) microwave radiation. For whilst the output from such a bulb is, during the day, completely negligible in comparison with visible light of natural origin – i.e. that from the Sun – this is not so in the case of the microwave radiation emitted by a Mobile Telephone Mast day and night, which, several hundred of metres away, is typically 100 million million (10^{13}) times higher than the microwave radiation that is emitted by the Sun at the same frequency. Accordingly, the emissions of Mobile Telephone Masts have caused an enormous (and relatively sudden) alteration in the natural environment (at this frequency) from that in which life on Earth has, over a very much longer time, evolved. The impact of this altered environment on biology is further enhanced by the high coherence of the mobile phone radiation. [11]

5. Naila: 10-year Study of Residents near Mobile Telephone Mast Proves Cancer Link

Following a call by Wolfram König, President of the Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz (Federal Agency for Radiation Protection), to all doctors of medicine to actively collaborate in the assessment of the risk posed by Mobile Telephone Mast radiation, the aim of this study was to examine whether people living close to Mobile Telephone Masts were exposed to an increased risk of becoming ill with malignant tumors (i.e. cancer). [12]

The basis of the data used for the survey were PC files of the case histories of patients between the years 1994 and 2004. While adhering to data protection, the personal data of almost 1,000 patients was evaluated for this study, which was completed without any external financial support. It is intended to continue the project in the form of a register.

The result of the study shows that the proportion of newly diagnosed cancer cases was significantly higher among those patients who had lived for the past ten years at a distance of up to 400 metres from the Mobile Telephone Mast, which has been in operation since 1993, compared to those patients living further away, and that the patients fell ill on average 8 years earlier.

In the years 1999-2004, *i.e.* after five years' operation of the transmitter installation, the relative risk of getting cancer had trebled for the residents of the area in the proximity of the installation compared to the inhabitants of Naila outside the area.

(N.B. Naila is a town halfway between Berlin and Munich, near the German border with the Czech Republic)

Previously, Santini and Santini (2001) and Santini et al (2002) [7] surveyed people living up to 300m from Mobile Telephone Masts. There was a statistical match between distance from Mobile Telephone Masts and health problems: tiredness up to 300m; headache, sleep disruption, 'discomfort' up to 200m; depression, memory loss, dizziness, visual disturbances up to 100m. Women were more susceptible than men.

6. International Medical Appeals: Freiburger, IDEA, Lichtenfelser, Hofer, Bamberger, and Helsinki

In the Freiburger Appeal [6], initially 50 doctors in Germany reported reduced therapeutic efficiency of prescribed drugs correlated with the use of pulsed microwaves, such as those from Mobile Telephone Masts. Some 40,000 signatories have now supported the appeal, including 1,200 doctors. Alongside the Freiburger Appeal there are now similar appeals from Lichtenfelser, Hofer, Bamberger and Helsinki.

In the Irish Republic, the IDEA group of Doctors has its own reports relating to Mobile Telephone Masts [10] which confirm the findings of the Freiburger Appeal doctors.

7. Masts INSIDE Your Own Home? - Wireless Networking (Wi-fi) and DECT Cordless Phones

Do you have a **Cordless Phone (DECT)**, as most are, see below) or **Wireless Networking (Wi-fi)** in your house? If you do, or know someone who does or who is thinking about buying these types of device, it is vital that you realise that they work upon the same principles as Mobile Telephones and their Masts. Since shops now sell virtually only Digital Cordless Phones (DECT), your cordless phone will most likely be a DECT phone, even if you were not aware of this.

Just as Mobile Telephone Masts come with the aforementioned health risks, so do DECT and Wi-fi, since they use the same microwave frequencies, although we are told nothing about this when we buy these devices. I.e. DECT and Wi-fi have comparable emissions, and worse, close by to Mobile Telephone Masts. If you are people who don't want a Mobile Telephone Mast in your area, you certainly do not want a DECT Phone System or Wi-fi in your home, since you will be exposing anyone inside your home (and outside, including your nearest neighbours) to these dangerous emissions. (Analogue cordless phones work quite differently, hence they do not have the associated health risks. These analogue phones are harder to find in the shops, but can be bought on-line. An example is BT Freestyle 60 from the BT (Internet) Shop or Currys).

The results of measuring emissions from Wi-fi and DECT phones are very frightening. For a fuller explanation and the figures taken with a calibrated microwave (COM) meter go to our website at www.nomasts.org.uk and click on the picture of the Wi-fi and DECT phones.

8. Inadequacy of the ICNIRP Guidelines

The Government tell us “If a proposed mobile phone base station meets the ICNIRP guidelines for public exposure it should not be necessary for a local planning authority, in processing an application for planning permission or prior approval, to consider further the health aspects and concerns about them”.

However, the view of Dr. Hyland from Warwick University is that these regulations are completely inadequate:

“... It must thus be concluded that GSM/TETRA telecommunication technology, as currently regulated by the ICNIRP safety guidelines, is less than safe, and constitutes a risk to public health because these guidelines afford **absolutely no protection** against **non-thermal** biological influences exerted by the kind of radiation emitted by the associated Base-stations.” [11]

Dr. Hyland [11] has carried out a lot of research on Mobile Telephone Mast emissions. Relevant research shows how the current ICNIRP Guidelines, as adopted in the UK, are woefully inadequate since they only protect against thermal effects and, vitally, not against NON-Thermal effects, which are far more relevant.

Since it is accepted that, at the levels given off by the Mobile Telephone Masts, thermal effects on people are negligible, ICNIRP Guidelines are therefore not protecting people at all.

The government as well as the telecommunication industry and other interested parties appear to be deliberately ignoring the NON-Thermal effects of the radiation from Mobile Telephone Masts, claiming that there is no research to say that Mobile Telephone Masts are not safe. This is quite untrue. There is plenty of evidence from independent scientists that warn us of grave dangers to our health from the radiation from Mobile Telephone Masts.

It must also be noted that other countries have adopted much stricter guidelines than Britain has, and there are moves in some European cities for much, much lower limits on Mobile Telephone Mast emissions of microwave radiation.

9. Conclusion

“On the basis of many inter-consistent reports of adverse health effects in the vicinity of GSM Base-stations [Mobile Telephone Masts], it must be concluded that such installations pose a **real risk** to the health of people resident nearby. It is to be stressed that this conclusion is not purely personal, but is one that is shared by many eminent scientists of international standing and medical doctors worldwide.” [11]

And, a warning about government and industry ignoring these health issues, and the conflict of interest between research and revenue earning:

“... The reality of such a risk to public health is not yet officially recognised, however, and those who dare to depart from the ‘official’ line, by warning of potential dangers to human health posed by *non-thermal influences* of the radiation used in mobile telephony, are subject to immediate criticism and derision – particularly by those with a vested interest in maintaining the growth of mobile telephony.” [11]

References:-

- [1] Bioelectromagnetics (23, pp 113-126) Microwave News Jan/Feb 2002
- [2] Environmental Health Perspectives Volume 112, Number 6, May 2004 Magnetic-Field-Induced DNA Strand Breaks in Brain Cells of the Rat by Henry Lai and Narendra P. Singh <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/docs/2004/6355/abstract.html> also <http://www.washington.edu/alumni/columns/march05/wakeupcall01.html>
- [3] EU Reflex Project (REFLEX: Risk Evaluation of Potential Environmental Hazards from Low Energy Electromagnetic Field Exposure Using Sensitive in vitro Methods) <http://www.verum-foundation.de/cgi-bin/content.cgi?id=euprojekte01>
- [4] "Melatonin metabolite excretion among cellular telephone users" by Burch JB, Reif JS, Noonan CW, Ichinose T, et al. In Int Journal Radiat Biol 2002;78:1029-1036
"Effects of the 1900 MHz electromagnetic field emitted from cellular phone on nocturnal melatonin secretion" by Jarupat S, Kawabata A, Tokura H, Borkiewicz A. in Journal Physiol Anthropol 2003; 22:61-63.
- [5] "Nerve Cell Damage in Mammalian Brain after Exposure to Microwaves from GSM Mobile Phones" by Leif G. Salford, Arne E. Brun, Jacob L. Eberhardt, Lars Malmgren, Bertil R.R. Persson. Environmental Health Perspectives, January 2003 (Journal of the US National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences)
- [6] Freiburger Appeal : http://www.emrnetwork.org/news/IGUMED_english.pdf
Lichtenfelser Appeal: http://www.elektrosmognews.de/news/20050703_LichtenfelserAppell.pdf
Bamberger Appeal: http://www.milieuziektes.nl/Rapporten/Appell_AerzteBamberg230704.pdf
Hofer Appeal: http://www.elektrosmognews.de/news/20050605_hofer_appell.html
Helsinki Appeal: http://www.elektrosmognews.de/news//20050226_helsinki_erstunterzeichner.html
Letter from Bamberg to Edmund Stoiber: <http://www.tetrawatch.net/links/links.php?id=stoiberlet>
- [7] Santini 2002 Parliamentary Office for Evaluation of scientific and technological alternatives
- [8] Journal of BioElectromagnetics (23:488495) by researchers in Chemical Engineering and Molecular Physics.
- [9] "Effects of mobile phone emissions on human brain activity and sleep variables" by Hamblin D.L. & Wood A.W. in Int. J. Radiat. Biol. 2002; 78(8): 659-669.
- [10] IDEA (Irish Doctors Environmental Association): <http://www.ideaireland.org/emr2005dailreport.htm>
- [11] "The inadequacy of the ICNIRP Guidelines governing human exposure to the microwave emissions of GSM/TETRA Base-stations." By Dr. G J Hyland
http://www.radiationresearch.org/Dr_Hyland_Dec03A.pdf
- [12] "The Influence of Being Physically Near to a Cell Phone Transmission Mast on the Incidence of Cancer" By Horst Eger, Klaus Uwe Hagen, Birgitt Lucas, Peter Vogel, Helmut Voit (all medical doctors). Published in *Umwelt-Medizin-Gesellschaft* 17,4 2004, as: 'Einfluss der räumlichen Nähe von Mobilfunksendeanlagen auf die Krebsinzidenz'
- [13] Dr Neil Cherry - <http://www.neilcherry.com/>
- [14] Electromagnetic Radiation and Epilepsy by Andy Davidson, Tetrawatch
http://www.tetrawatch.net/papers/emr_epilepsy.pdf
- [15] Pall ML, Satterlee JD. Elevated nitric oxide/peroxynitrite mechanism for the common etiology of multiple chemical sensitivity, chronic fatigue syndrome and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Annals of the New York Academy of Science*, 2001;933:323-329, and Pall ML. Common etiology of posttraumatic stress disorder, fibromyalgia, chronic fatigue syndrome and multiple chemical sensitivity via elevated nitric oxide/peroxynitrite, *Medical Hypotheses*, 2001;57:139-145.
- [16] Mobil- und Kommunikationsfunk in Kooperation mit falscher Lebensweise - Wie unsere Gesundheit durch stimulierte NO-Radikale (Stickstoffmonoxid) in Gefahr gerät, HESE Projekt:
http://www.hese-project.org/de/emf/WissenschaftForschung/Warnke_Dr.%20rer.%20nat._Ulrich/Mobil-%20und%20Kommunikationsfunk.pdf

Other Sources of Information:-

GRAM website - www.nomasts.org.uk
Powerwatch – www.powerwatch.org
TETRAwatch – www.tetrawatch.net
Mast Sanity – www.mastsanity.org

Radiation Research – www.radiationresearch.org
Mast Action UK – www.mastaction.co.uk
Sitefinder - www.sitefinder.radio.gov.uk
TETRA Sanity - www.tetrasanity.org